PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

BIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON

TECHNICAL NAMES OF THE SOUTH AMERICAN MARSH DEER AND PAMPAS DEER

By Philip Hershkovitz
Curator of Mammals, Chicago Natural History Museum

The generic name *Edocerus*, with type *Cervus dichotomus* Illiger, was proposed by Avila-Pires (1957) for the South American marsh deer currently known as *Blastocerus*. According to Avila-Pires the name *Blastocerus* is based on the pampas deer and should, therefore, displace *Ozotoceros* Ameghino. The arguments raised for the nomenclatural changes do not take into account all the known facts.

Ameghino (1891:243) proposed Ozotoceros, with type the pampas deer (Ozotoceros campestris authors, not Cuvier = bezoarticus Linnaeus), to replace "Blastoceros [sic = Blastocerus, not Blastoceros Fitzinger] Gray, 1872," erroneously held to be pre-occupied by Blastocera Gerstaecker, 1856, a genus of Diptera. In this particular case, the designated type species of Ozotoceros automatically becomes the type of "Blastocerus Gray, 1872." Both the pampas deer and the marsh deer, Cervus paludosus (= C. dichotomus) were included in "Blastocerus Gray, 1872." Under no circumstances, however, can it be assumed, as Avia-Pires does, that the type of Ozotoceros becomes, ipso facto, the type of Blastocerus as proposed by any author prior to Gray, 1872. Indeed, Blastocerus, as understood and constituted by earlier authors is not the same as "Blastocerus Gray, 1872."

The first use of *Blastocerus* as a valid generic name dates from Gray, 1850. The type and only species of *Blastocerus* Gray, 1850, is the marsh deer, *Blastocerus paludosus* Desmarest, with the *guazu-pucu* of Azara and *Cervus dichotomus* Illiger included as absolute cynonyms. Patently, *Ozotoceros* Ameghino and *Blastocerus* Gray, 1850, are not synonymous.

In errecting the genus *Blastocerus* for the marsh deer, Gray (1850:68) cited Wagner and Sundevall as authorities for the name. However, neither author employed the term in any form that could be accepted as a generic or subgeneric taxon.

Wagner's classification of deer in the fourth supplement to Schreber's "Säugthiere" published 1844, and in the fifth supplement published in 1855, leaves no room for equivocation. The deer in question are here actually contained within the genus Cervus, subgenus Elaphus (cf. 1844: ix, 339, 341, 348, 367, 368; 1855: xv-xvi, 352, 367, 368). "Blastocerus" is introduced by Wagner (1844: 366) as a diagnostic term for a species

4-Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash., Vol. 71, 1958

APRIL 1000

group contained within the subgenus Elaphus. The group consists of the marsh deer (Cervus paludosus [=dichotomus]), the pampas deer (C. campestris [=bezoarticus]) and the mule deer C. macrotis (=hemionus). At the same time, Wagner (1844:384) uses the term "Furcifer" for another superspecific category of subgenus Elaphus. This subdivision held only the Peruvian taruga (Cervus antisensis I. Geoffroy and Blainville).

In 1855, Wagner (p. 346) reviewed the taxonomic literature on deer contributed since 1844. He acknowledged Gray, 1850, as author of several generic names, including Blastocerus and Furcifer. In his own classification, however, Wagner (1855:349-350) kept "Blastocerus" in its original status as a diagnostic term for a species group within the subgenus Elaphus. Furcifer, on the other hand, was removed from Elaphus and given equal rank as a subgenus of Cervus (op. cit., pp. 350, 380).

In his arrangement of the Pecora, Sundevall (1846: 182-183) used the plural form "Blastoceri" as the term for a division of Cervus. It contained only the marsh deer, Cervus paludosus. The pampas deer, C. campestris, was referred to the "Furciferes" division of Cervus.

Admission of the terms "Blastocerus" and "Furcifer" from Wagner, 1844, "Blastoceri" and "Furciferes" from Sundevall, 1846, as valid generic or subgeneric names is not in harmony with their authors' concepts of classification or with the binomial system of nomenclature. A number of other Latin terms used by Wagner in the diagnoses of special species groups have crept into the literature as generic and specific taxons. They need not be exposed at this time.

The above conclusions are in agreement with those presented by Cabrera in 1943 (pp. 14-15). Avila-Pires cites Cabrera's paper but, it appears, has grossly misrepresented its meaning. Simpson (1945: footnote 5, p. 154) likewise failed to grasp the significance of the difference between "Blastocerus" Wagner, 1844, and Blastocerus Gray, 1850. His suggestion that Dorcelaphus Gloger, 1841, an absolute synonym of Odocoileus Rafinesque, could be the correct name for the marsh deer, cannot be taken seriously.

Another name for marsh deer that must be reckoned with is Bezoarticus Marelli. The name was erected in 1931 (p. 57) as a subgenus of "Blastocerus Wagner, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, 1850, p. 237." Its type by monotypy is the marsh deer, Blastocerus paludosus Desmarest. Marelli also listed the pampas deer, Blastocerus campestris, with synonym Blastocerus bezoarticus. It would seem that Marelli might have included this tautonymic species in his Bezoarticus but this is not evident from the text. In any case, the pampas deer becomes, by elimination, type of the nominate form of the genus Blastocerus as understood by Marelli.

Turning now to the pampas deer, the earliest generic name based on it is not Ozotoceros Ameghino. In 1860, Fitzinger (p. 176) proposed Blastoceros exclusively for this animal. There is no indication in the text of his work that Fitzinger adopted the name from either Wagner, 1844, or Gray, 1850. Whether or not it should be regarded as an invalid emendation, Blastoceros Fitzinger as a name is valid, and no more a homonym of Blastocerus Gray, 1850, than is Blastocera Gerstaecker, 1856.

Some confusion may result from the near identity of the generic names for marsh and pampas deer. This problem may eventually be resolved within the scope of a taxonomic revision of all Neotropical cervids. For present purely nomenclatorial purposes, however, the available names for the deer in question may be summarized as follows:

Blastocerus Gray (Marsh deer)

Blastocerus Gray, 1850, Gleanings Knowsley Menag., p. 68-type Blastocerus paludosus Desmarest [=Blastocerus dichotomus Illiger] by monotypy; generic name derived from "Blastocerus" Wagner, 1844 (Schreber's Säugthiere, Suppl. 4:366), a term for a species group of subgenus Elaphus, genus Cervus. Lydekker, 1915, Deer of all lands, p. 282-name erroneously attributed to Sundevall (1846, K. Vet. Akad. Handl., 1844:182) where only the plural Latin term "Blastoceri" is used.

Blastoros Knottnerus-Meyer, 1907, Arch. Naturg., Berlin, (73), 1:19-

misprint for Blastoceros [sic] Gray.

Bezoarticus Marelli, 1932, Mem. Jardin Zool. La Plata (1930-1931), 4:57-subgenus of "Blastocerus Wagner [Gray], Proc. Zool. Soc. London, 1850, p. 237''; type by monotypy, Blastocerus paludosus Desmarest [=Blastocerus dichotomus].

Edocerus Avila-Pires, 1957, Bol. Mus. Nac. Rio de Janeiro, n.s., no. 153, p. 5—type Edocerus dichotomus Illiger, by original designation.

Dorcelaphus Knottnerus-Meyer (Not Gloger, 1841), 1907, Arch Naturg., Berlin, (73), 1:19, 98-included species, D. dichotoma Illiger; offered as substitute for Blastoceros [sic] Gray, 1850.

Blastoceros Fitzinger (Pampas deer)

Blastoceros Fitzinger, 1860, Naturg. Säugeth., 3:176-type, Blastoceros

campestris [=Cervus bezoarticus Linnaeus], by monotypy.

Ozotoceros Ameghino, 1891, Rev. Argentina Hist. Nat., 1:243-type, Ozotoceros campestris [not Cuvier = Cervus bezoarticus Linnaeus]; name proposed as a substitute for "Blastoceros [sic] Gray, 1872" [not Blastocerus Gray, 1850] erroneously regarded as preoccupied by Blastocera Gerstaecker, 1856, a genus of Diptera.

Ozotoceras [sic] Palmer, 1904, Index Gen. Mamm., N. A. Fauna no.

23:492-lapsus for Ozotoceros Ameghino.

Ozelaphus Knottnerus-Meyer, 1907, Arch. Naturg., (73), 1:19, 98, 110—included species: azarae Fitzinger [=bczoarticus Linnaeus], bezoarticus Linnaeus [=type, by virtual monotypy].

LITERATURE CITED

Ameghino. 1891. Mamíferos y aves fósiles argentinas.—Especies nuevas, adiciones y correcciones. Rev. Argentina Hist, Nat., 1:240-259.

Avila-Pires, Fernando Dias de. 1957. Um novo género para o "cervo" ou "Veado do pantanal." Bol. Mus. Nac. n.s., Rio de Janeiro, Zool. no. 153: 1-10.

Cabrera, Angel. 1943. Sobre la sistemática del venado y su variación individual y geográfica. Rev. Mus. La Plata (n.s.), 3, Zool., pp. 5-41, 6 figs.

Fitzinger, Leopold Joseph. 1860. Wissenschaflich-populäre Naturgeschichte der Säugethiere in ihren sämmtlichen Hauptformen. Wien,

Gray, John Edward. 1850. Gleanings from the menageric and aviary at Knowsley Hall. Hoofed Quadrupeds. Knowsley, 76 pp., 62 plates.

in the British Museum. viii+102 pp., 4 pls.

Marelli, Carlos A. 1932. Los vertebrados exhibidos en los zoológicos del Plata. Mem. Jardín Zool. La Plata, (1930-1931), 4:274 pp., 79 pls.

Simpson, George Gaylord. 1945. The principles of classification and a classification of mammals. Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., 85: xvi+350 pp. Sundevall, Carl J. 1846. Methodisk ofversigt af Idislande djuren, Linnés

Pecora. Kg. Vet. Akad. Handl., Stockholm, 1844: 121-210, pls. 13-14. Wagner, Johann Andreas. 1844. Die Saugthiere in Abbildungen nach der Natur mit Beschreibungen von Dr. Johann Christian Daniel von

Schreber. Erlangen. Supplementband 4: xii + 523 pp.

Beschreibungen von Dr. Johann Christian Daniel von Schreber. Leipsig, Supplementband 5: xxvi + 810 pp., 51 pls.